## **JURISPRUDENCE**

Peter Mirfield Week 4

## **Dworkin**

## A. Reading

Dworkin, *Taking Rights Seriously*, Chs. 2, 3 and 4 Dworkin, *Law's Empire*, pp. 78-86 and Chs. 6-7

Hart, *The Concept of Law* (2nd ed. 1994), Ch. 7 and Postscript
Harris (1989) 52 M.L.R. 42, at pp. 48-57
Mackie, "The Third Theory of Law" in *Ronald Dworkin and Contemporary Jurisprudence*, ed. Cohen
Finnis (1987) 6 Law and Philosophy 357
MacCormick, *Legal Reasoning and Legal Theory* (2nd ed. 1994), pp. 229-264
Harris, *Law and Legal Science*, pp. 150-164
Endicott (2001) 5 Journal of Ethics 199
Gardner (1988) 8 O.J.L.S. 457

## B. Essay

How much of Dworkin's theory of law can and should positivism accommodate? Is he own theory really a "third theory of law", or just a positivist theory, or a natural law theory, in disguise?

05/17